



Space Commune

@SpaceCommune

One Child Policy: How Henry Kissinger, the Rockefeller Foundation and the Club of Rome Invaded China With Malthusian Ideology

At the dawn of the PRC in 1949, **Mao** upheld existing Marxist and **Sun Yat Sen's** views about **Malthusianism**.

Population growth was included within the development plan of the country. The nation had a serious responsibility to make sure its people had food, housing, and education.

On the Correct Handling of Contradictions Among the People

In 1957, **Mao** wavered. He said, "it would be great if we could lower the birth rate a bit. We need planned births. Humanity... has plans for industrial production, but it does not have plans for the production of humans."

This spurred **Beijing University** economist **Ma Yinchu** to write an article titled *New Population Theory* which attempted to fuse **Marxism** and **Malthusianism**. The Anti-Rightist response, which included over 200 published denunciations and his firing from the University, led to **Mao** backtracking.

A year later, he said "for now, a large population is better," adding "I said that we could manage with 800 million. I think that one billion plus would be no cause for alarm."

Population control remained a "forbidden zone" until the early 1970s.

Rockefeller & Kissinger Pressure Mao to make a Grand Bargain

In 1973, **David Rockefeller** visited **Mao** to discuss the opening up of the country's economy. His family's foundation had spent sixty years funding birth control studies in **China**, as **John D. Rockefeller III** once said population as an "outstanding problem" for the country. Within two weeks, **China** established the **Family Planning Leadership Group**.

The **Rockefeller** visit was followed closely by the publication of **Henry Kissinger's** loathsome *NSSM-200: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests* report.

It stated that "allocation of scarce resources should take account of what steps a country is taking in population control. There is an alternative view that mandatory programs may be

needed."

Judging from declassified **White House** briefings, **Kissinger** and **Mao** clearly had a clear understanding about Western expectations for **China's** population "problem."

In 1974, **China's** delegation to United Nations Population Conference issued one final public challenge to the Malthusian narratives:

Is it owing to overpopulation that unemployment and poverty exist in many countries of the world today? No, absolutely not. It is mainly due to aggression, plunder and exploitation by the imperialists, particularly the superpowers. . . . What a mass of figures they have calculated in order to prove that population is too large, the food supply too small and natural resources insufficient!

*"But they never calculate the amount of natural resources they have plundered, the social wealth they have grabbed and the superprofits they have extorted from **Asia, Africa and Latin America**. If an account were made of their exploitation, the truth with regard to population problems would at once be out. Their multitude of population statistics will not help them a bit either.*

The statement was essentially the last outward-facing dissent to population control being forced upon China; from this point forth, the country had to control its population in order to access the capital it needed to modernize and revolutionize its productive forces.

In 1978, after **Mao's** death, **Deng Xiaoping** met with the **U.N. Fund for Population Activities**, which was mainly funded by the **United States**. A result of the meeting was that the UNFPA awarded \$177M to China over the next 20 years.

The Club of Rome Invades China with the Limits to Growth

The case for population control was clear. If **China** could show progress to Western governments, **Kissinger**, and oligarchs like the **Rockefellers**, they would have access to Western investment. They would be allowed to develop relatively unfettered to if they defied the West.

The only thing missing was a convincing population control argument that party members and officials could buy into. After all, they remembered what happened to people who made **Malthusian** suggestions in the past.

Deng encouraged defense scientists to turn their attention to **China's** development problems. A missile scientist named **Song Jian**, who had no expertise in economics or population research, became a key figure in developing what is now known as the **One Child Policy**.

The big break was in 1979, when **Song** traveled to **Helsinki** for the **Seventh Triennial World Congress of the International Federation of Automatic Control**. There, he first encountered cybernetic-based, *Limits to Growth*-inspired methods of population control being proposed by **Dutch** scientists. From then on, **Song's** team was guided by techniques and logic extrapolated from the writings of the **Club of Rome**.

The historical context of that time is critical. At the time, books like *The Population Bomb*, *Small is Beautiful*, *Silent Spring*, and the *Limits to Growth* report were considered in the West to be cutting-edge. To an outside observer, it was clear that every serious world power was deeply concerned with overpopulation, and that Western scientists were mandated to come up with real solutions.

Song wrote in 1986:

*After more than ten years of isolation from the outside world, during a visit to **Europe** of 1978, I happened to learn about the application of systems analysis theory... to the study of population problems.*

*For instance, in a "**Blueprint for Survival**" published in 1972, **British** scientists contended that **Britain's** population of 56 million had greatly exceeded the sustaining capacity of the ecosystem of the Kingdom. They argued that **Britain's** population should be gradually reduced to 30 million, namely, a reduction by nearly 50 percent.*

*Some **Dutch** scientists also believed that the **Netherlands** population of 13.5 million had far gone beyond the limit of what the country's 40,000 square kilometers territory could possibly bear and should therefore be reduced by at least half. I was extremely excited about these documents and determined to try the method of demography.*

Sadly for **China**, **Song** didn't encounter any of the thorough critiques of the *Limits to Growth's* flawed computer modeling or underlying assumptions about innovation, resourcefulness and growth. As figures like **Julian Simon** and **Lyndon Larouche** most eloquently argued at the time, the *Limits to Growth* discounted our greatest resource: the ability of human beings to engineer solutions, like the **Green Revolution** for agriculture, or nuclear energy for electricity, to humanity's problems.

Song returned to work in **China** and urgently began reading **Malthus** and Western demographers to replicate **Club of Rome's** projections specifically for **China**, while cleaning them up to seem scientifically-based and socialist. The next year, the **Politburo** issued a letter to party members urging them to only have one child.

A Bad Idea That Should be Blamed on Western Imperialism; Not Totalitarianism

The policy encountered stiff resistance from **Chinese** citizens, especially in rural areas. While only about 35% of the population had the policy applied to them, the overall moves toward discouraging reproduction resulted in **China's** population growth rate decreasing from 2.3% in 1973 to 0.1% in 2021.

Song failed to create "modernization with **Chinese** characteristics," as **Deng** had requested, as his work was entirely Western-derived in nature, creating a one-sided fiction that **China's** population was primarily preventing it from being a rich, powerful country.

The most critical flaw in **Song's** work was that while his Western contemporaries treated population control as a scientific exercise, in **China**, it was treated as a concrete policy proposal that was needed to transform **China** from a poor, backwards country into a world power. **England** and the **Netherlands** never actually reduced their populations by 45% and 63%, despite what papers **Song** read at the 1979 conference.

The **One Child Policy**, which had deep repercussions for **China's** population dynamics, can clearly be traced back to Western pressures and ideologies.

Figures such as **Kissinger** and **Rockefeller** effectively signaled to **Chinese** leaders that population control was a prerequisite for accessing essential Western capital.

In a desperate bid to translate this pressure into actionable policy, **Song Jian** turned to Western concepts, ultimately culminating in a policy that imposed immense costs upon the **Chinese** people.

As historian **Susan Greenhalgh** noted, "Western ideas... shape[d] **China's** population policy."

Today, as **China** grapples with the challenges of an aging population, we must critically evaluate the influence of current Western ideologies, particularly those promoted by the modern **Club of Rome**, on **China's** future trajectory.

The specter of **degrowth** and even **ecological civilization**, championed by certain Western circles, looms large. Are they truly the best policies for **China**, or are they being forced upon the country to be allowed to develop?



“It is a very good thing that China has a **big population.**

Even if China's population multiplies many times, [we are] fully capable of finding a solution.

Revolution plus production can solve the problem of feeding the population. Of all the things in the world,

people are the most precious...

all pessimistic views are utterly groundless.”

Mao Zedong

1949



3:37 PM · Oct 10, 2023

Last edited

· **1,509** Views