

What Raland J Brunson v. Alma S. Adams is Really About

December 26, 2022 by [Gail Sheppard](#) [14 Comments](#)



What Happened

On January 6, 2021, the 117th Congress held a proceeding in Washington DC for the purpose of determining the votes in the 2020 presidential election and certifying the results for the President and Vice President of the United States under Amendment XII.

During this proceeding *over 100 members of U.S. Congress* claimed they had factual evidence that the 2020 elections were rigged.

Pres. Biden, Harris, former V.P. Pence and 385 members of Congress broke their oath to protect the Constitution by voting AGAINST the proposition that came from members of Congress to investigate the claims that there were enemies of the Constitution who successfully rigged the election.

Failing to investigate the matter demonstrates the Respondents “adhered” to the enemy, which is treason, and their inaction unilaterally violated the rights of every citizen of the U.S.

The Cases

The Plaintiffs have two cases. The first one was filed by Loy Brunson and is still held up in the Utah Federal Court.

However, an identical case was filed by his brother with the US Supreme Court, bypassing the previous 10th circuit court of appeals, by filing it under Rule 11, stating that the case falls under the category of a National Emergency.

Rule 11. Certiorari to a United States Court of Appeals before Judgment

A petition for a writ of certiorari to review a case pending in a United States court of appeals, before judgment is entered in that court, will be granted only upon a showing that the case is of such imperative public importance as to justify deviation from normal appellate practice and to require immediate determination in this Court. See [28 U. S. C. § 2101\(e\)](#).

Why This is a Historic U.S. Supreme Court Case

- The case involves the possible removal of a sitting President and Vice President of the United States along with 388 members of the United States Congress and Mike Pence.
- The Respondents were properly warned and were requested to make an investigation into a highly covert swift and powerful enemy, seeking to destroy the constitution in the United States of America.
- Congress failed in their Constitutional duties by ignoring the protection of critical infrastructure (election systems) during a National Emergency.
- US courts have consistently held that fraud vitiates everything (*US v Throckmorton, 1878*).
- The refusal of the respondents to investigate the Congressional claim there was an enemy undermining the elections is an act of treason and fraud by the Respondents.
- The successful manipulation of US elections constitutes an act of war. (#1 Link)

We have discussed before that Trump declared a national emergency September 12, 2018, by Executive Order 13848, with respect to “foreign interference in or undermining public confidence in United States elections,” which would cover the 2020 elections. (#2 Link)

We have also discussed Biden kept that order in play for another year beginning September 7, 2022 which would cover the mid terms. (#3 Link)

When the Supreme Court received their petition, they called the Brunson brothers and told them they *would* review the case and they set a conference on January 6, where the 9 Justices in conference will vote on whether or not to move forward to a hearing. Only 4 of the 9 votes are needed to proceed.

The fact that this case has gotten this far, this fast, is extremely unusual.

If the Supreme Court has a hearing and finds the Defendants failed to live up to their constitutional duties, i.e. “I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States *against all enemies*, foreign and domestic . . .,” the U.S. Supreme Court has the right to both *adjudicate* and *execute* their findings. In other words, it is within U.S. Supreme Court’s providence to personally throw these people out of office.

The fact that they overturned Roe v Wade shows they are willing to go “where angels fear to tread.”

With this case, they just may go there again.

#1 <https://www.newstreason.com/post/supreme-court-brunson-v-alma-s-adams-et-al-case-summary-and-timeline>

#2 <https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/executive-order-13848-imposing-certain-sanctions-the-event-foreign-interference-united>

#3 <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2022/09/07/notice-on-the-continuation-of-the-national-emergency-with-respect-to-foreign-interference-in-or-undermining-public-confidence-in-united-states-elections-2/>

